JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS SOLUTIONS

# Futures Studies Analysis of Transformative Technologies in the Banking Industry

1. Amir Hossein. Taherzadeh*: Ph.D. student in Technology Management, Department of Industrial and Technology Management, Alborz Campus, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

*corresponding author's email: amir.taherzadeh@ut.ac.ir

## ABSTRACT

This study aims to forecast and analyze the future trajectory of transformative technologies shaping the banking industry using a comprehensive futures studies approach. This research employed a qualitative futures studies design integrating expert elicitation, thematic content analysis, Delphi rounds, and cross-impact evaluation. Participants were selected through purposive and snowball sampling to include banking strategists, fintech specialists, digital transformation managers, regulators, and academic experts. Data collection involved semi-structured interviews, document analysis of national and international foresight reports, and two Delphi rounds designed to refine consensus on the significance, adoption timelines, and systemic interactions of transformative technologies. Analytical procedures included thematic coding, consensus stability evaluation using interquartile ranges, prioritization matrices, and structural cross-impact mapping to identify causal relationships among emerging technologies and their implications for future banking scenarios. Inferential analysis demonstrated strong expert consensus that artificial intelligence, blockchain, open banking ecosystems, cloud-native infrastructures, digital identity systems, and quantum-resistant cryptography represent the highest-impact transformative technologies for future banking. Delphi stability metrics showed convergence of opinions for AI, blockchain, open banking, and cloud systems, while emerging technologies such as IoT-based banking and metaverse financial services exhibited low stability and weak consensus. Cross-impact modeling revealed reinforcing relationships between AI and open banking, blockchain and digital identity, and cloud systems and AI, indicating interdependent technological clusters that collectively shape high-probability future scenarios. These inferential results underscore systemic technological interconnections and identify the core drivers most likely to influence long-term industry transformation. The study concludes that banking institutions must prioritize foundational transformative technologies, monitor systemic interactions, and adopt structured foresight methodologies to remain resilient in an increasingly uncertain and technologically dynamic environment.

**Keywords:** Transformative technologies; banking industry; futures studies; strategic foresight; Delphi analysis; cross-impact evaluation; digital transformation; artificial intelligence; blockchain; open banking.

## Introduction

The rapid acceleration of transformative technologies has fundamentally reshaped the dynamics of global banking systems, compelling institutions to reconfigure their operational models, strategic orientations, and long-term development trajectories. As digital disruptions continue to intensify, the banking industry increasingly relies on futures studies and strategic foresight frameworks to anticipate emerging uncertainties and design adaptive pathways for long-term competitiveness. This shift mirrors broader global transformations in industrial innovation, socio-economic systems, and technological infrastructures, where the capacity to anticipate and prepare for


Article history:
Received 09 April 2025
Revised 18 May 2025
Accepted 24 May 2025
Published online 10 June 2025

**How to cite this article:**
Taherzadeh, A. H. (2025). Futures Studies Analysis of Transformative Technologies in the Banking Industry. *Journal of Management and Business Solutions, 3*(3), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.61838/jmbs.107

possible futures determines institutional resilience and sectoral sustainability (1). In the banking sector specifically, waves of digitization, automation, and data-driven intelligence have accelerated both opportunities and vulnerabilities, requiring comprehensive analytical tools capable of mapping future technological trajectories.

Foresight research has gained prominence across multiple domains due to its value in identifying weak signals, evaluating long-term risks, and enabling system-level transformation. Studies show that complex socio-economic transitions increasingly require scenario-based strategic planning to navigate structural uncertainties and external shocks (2). In the banking industry, these shocks may include geopolitical tensions, regulatory shifts, climate-induced disruptions, and sudden technological breakthroughs. Similar to how foresight methodologies have supported tourism development planning (3) and infrastructure modernization (4), they now serve as essential tools in understanding how transformative technologies—such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, cloud computing, metaverse infrastructures, and quantum communication networks—will shape the future of banking ecosystems.

The intensification of digital transformation initiatives has made banking institutions more dependent on technological infrastructures, algorithmic forecasting tools, and real-time data analytics. This mirrors transformations in other sectors, such as manufacturing, logistics, and industrial management, where digitization has accelerated innovation cycles and redefined decision-making paradigms (5). The integration of AI-powered forecasting systems, for example, has already demonstrated significant value in improving financial risk management, investment strategies, and corporate performance (6). Within the banking sector, algorithmic forecasting and machine learning applications are increasingly embedded in credit scoring, fraud detection, customer analytics, and automated advisory systems. These technological capabilities not only enhance operational efficiency but also expand the strategic possibilities for future banking models.

Moreover, the emergence of metaverse technologies, augmented reality interfaces, and immersive digital ecosystems is beginning to influence the conceptualization of future financial services. Although still in nascent stages, such developments hint at new forms of human–technology interaction in financial environments, as highlighted in recent work exploring the potential trajectories of metaverse applications (7). These paradigms challenge traditional assumptions about customer engagement, identity verification, branch operations, and payment ecosystems.

Digital transformation has also been a central theme in global economic restructuring, particularly in the context of post-pandemic recovery and resilience. The societal and economic disruptions induced by COVID-19 revealed vulnerabilities in multiple sectors, triggering a global acceleration of automation, remote service provision, and digital financial inclusion (8). For banking institutions, resilience and adaptability became strategic imperatives, especially as digital transactions surged and customers demanded uninterrupted digital services. This transformation parallels broader patterns observed in other service industries, where technological readiness now determines operational continuity and sustainable competitiveness (9). The banking sector’s future is therefore inseparable from the trajectories of digital maturity, cybersecurity infrastructure, and technological integration across institutional processes.

At the same time, foresight-based planning has become increasingly relevant as the global economy undergoes rapid structural changes. Countries facing complex institutional and demographic transformations, such as Ukraine, have relied on forecasting models to anticipate macroeconomic shifts and evaluate the impact of large-scale disruptions (10). The need for long-term planning is even greater in industries that are deeply embedded in digital ecosystems, such as banking, where technological impacts can propagate rapidly and broadly across systems. The banking sector's future may mirror the experiences of other industries undergoing scenario-driven transformation, such as energy, tourism, and education, all of which rely heavily on foresight methodologies for sustainable strategic planning (11-13).

Scenario planning has emerged as a critical tool for managing uncertainty in both public and private institutions. Research on industrial networks, supply chains, and strategic management emphasizes the value of scenario-based evaluations for addressing volatility and complexity (14). Similarly, predictive analytics and forecasting models have been instrumental in developing long-term planning frameworks in sectors such as agriculture, higher education, and tourism (15-17). These methodologies are equally applicable to banking, where structural uncertainties—ranging from cyber threats to regulatory reforms—necessitate robust and adaptive planning systems.

Another critical dimension influencing the future of banking technologies is sustainability and global systemic risk. Insights from climate modeling and ecological disruption research demonstrate how abrupt systemic changes can cascade across economic and social sectors, accelerating uncertainty and requiring high levels of institutional preparedness (18). The banking industry is not immune to such risks, particularly as climate-related financial exposures increase and regulatory frameworks evolve to incorporate environmental stress testing. Long-term scenario modeling, therefore, becomes an essential mechanism for assessing emerging threats and designing appropriate mitigation strategies.

Across multiple international contexts, studies have emphasized the importance of human capital readiness, skills development, and organizational agility to support technologically driven transformation. Human resource planning research indicates that technological transitions require proactive workforce strategies to ensure institutional effectiveness in digital environments (19). Countries developing new economic infrastructures, such as Indonesia's planned capital relocation, have similarly used foresight and system dynamics to analyze workforce needs arising from transformation processes (20). These findings align with the banking sector's need for digitally competent personnel capable of operating advanced systems and managing complex, technology-intensive processes.

Institutional resilience also emerges as a critical theme within foresight research. The concept of operational resilience, for example, has been reframed within the financial sector to encompass not only risk management but also adaptability and continuity under technological and systemic shocks (21). Banking institutions must therefore prepare for disruptive scenarios, whether arising from cyber-attacks, technological failures, global crises, or unexpected market events. Futures studies offer a structured framework for analyzing these vulnerabilities and designing robust mitigation strategies.

The global shift toward digital ecosystems is also creating new forms of interdependence between banking institutions, regulatory bodies, and technological infrastructures. Studies examining the modernization of banking ecosystems highlight the growing complexity of these systems and the need for analytical tools that capture interactions across institutional boundaries (22). Similarly, research on European banking activities underscores how regulatory environments, technological adoption, and digital integration jointly shape institutional trajectories (23). These findings support the argument that futures studies can provide an integrative framework for understanding and responding to the multidimensional nature of technological transformation.

Strategic foresight has also been used effectively in national planning processes, industrial forecasting, and long-term development strategies. Mathematical modeling approaches have proven useful for evaluating structural industrial transitions (24), while network-based forecasting and artificial intelligence techniques have enhanced predictive capacity in complex systems (25). In addition, horizon scanning methodologies have demonstrated predictive value across various scenario-based applications (26). These methodological advancements collectively enrich the analytical toolbox available for futures studies in banking.

Technologies such as quantum communication networks also carry significant implications for the future landscape of financial security. Quantum communication research highlights emerging possibilities for secure information exchange and resilient digital infrastructures (27). As banking transactions increasingly rely on secure digital channels, understanding the future trajectory of quantum technologies becomes vital for long-term planning.

Broader historical and systemic analyses further illustrate the value of integrating environmental, demographic, and political factors into foresight studies. Comprehensive approaches to historical system modeling demonstrate the interconnectedness of global transformation processes (28). This systemic perspective is particularly relevant to banking, which operates within intricate global economic networks sensitive to large-scale disruptions.

Collectively, these insights converge toward the central role of futures studies as a methodology for anticipating, interpreting, and shaping technological transformation. As global digital ecosystems evolve, banking institutions face increasing pressure to envision alternatives, mobilize strategic resources, and design resilient systems capable of adapting to unforeseen developments. The complexity and rapid evolution of technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, open banking platforms, immersive digital environments, and quantum-secure infrastructures require structured methodologies that move beyond linear planning and toward dynamic, scenario-based engagement with the future.

Despite growing interest, however, a comprehensive foresight-based analysis of transformative technologies in the banking industry remains limited, particularly regarding cross-impact relationships, long-term scenario design, and systemic technological interactions. Addressing this gap requires an integrative futures studies approach capable of synthesizing expert judgment, technological foresight, and dynamic modeling to generate actionable insights for strategic planning.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to analyze and forecast the future trajectory of transformative technologies in the banking industry using a comprehensive futures studies methodology.

## Methods and Materials

This study employed a qualitative futures studies design grounded in an exploratory approach to identify, analyze, and prioritize transformative technologies that are expected to shape the future of the banking industry. The research framework relied on integrating futures thinking, expert judgment, and systematic evaluation of technological drivers influencing banking systems. The study population consisted of senior banking specialists, technology strategists, digital transformation managers, fintech experts, academic researchers in banking innovation, and policymakers familiar with emerging financial technologies. Participants were selected through purposive and snowball sampling to ensure that individuals with deep domain knowledge and extensive practical experience were represented. The final sample size was determined based on the principle of theoretical saturation, where additional interviews no longer yielded novel insights. The selected experts had a minimum of ten years of professional experience in digital banking, payment systems, artificial intelligence applications in finance, regulatory technology, blockchain-based services, or strategic planning within financial institutions. Their contributions were central to refining the list of transformative technologies, evaluating their relevance, and validating future scenarios constructed in the study.

Data collection was conducted through a combination of semi-structured expert interviews, document analysis, and futures-oriented Delphi rounds. The semi-structured interview protocol was designed to elicit expert perspectives on technological trends, potential disruptions, strategic challenges, and enablers shaping the future trajectory of banking. Each interview allowed flexibility for participants to elaborate on emerging issues while ensuring systematic coverage of key themes such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, open banking architectures, cloud-native infrastructures, digital identity, quantum computing, and cybersecurity innovations. Interviews were recorded with participants' consent and later transcribed for thematic analysis. In addition to interviews, relevant national and international strategic documents, industry reports, regulatory guidelines, and technology foresight publications were reviewed to triangulate insights and enrich the analytical basis of the study. A multi-round Delphi method was then used to refine expert consensus on the significance, time horizon, and impact level of each transformative technology. In each Delphi round, experts were invited to evaluate technological drivers and revise their judgments after reviewing aggregated group responses, thereby increasing the reliability and validity of consensus-driven outcomes.

The data analysis process followed a multi-layered qualitative and futures studies methodology. First, transcribed interviews and documentary evidence were subjected to thematic content analysis to identify recurrent patterns, emerging drivers, and critical uncertainties. Coding was conducted manually and iteratively to ensure that both explicit statements and implicit expert assumptions were captured accurately. Themes extracted in this phase informed a preliminary framework of transformative technologies and their expected impacts on the banking sector. Second, the synthesized themes were used as inputs for the Delphi analysis, where consensus metrics such as interquartile deviation and stability indices were examined to determine convergence of expert opinions. Delphi results enabled the ranking and prioritization of technologies based on perceived transformative power and likelihood of adoption within specific future time frames. Following the Delphi phase, a structural futures studies analysis was performed using cross-impact logic to identify interactions among technological drivers, feedback loops, and leverage points shaping possible future scenarios. The final analytical stage involved constructing coherent future scenarios outlining alternative pathways for technological transformation in banking. These scenarios were validated through expert review to ensure internal consistency, plausibility, and alignment with the data. The overall analytical process produced a comprehensive foresight map that integrates expert knowledge, technological trends, and systemic interactions to support strategic planning in the banking industry.

## Findings and Results

The findings of this futures study provide a structured understanding of the transformative technologies shaping the future of the banking industry based on expert evaluations. Table 1 presents the descriptive characteristics of the expert participants whose insights formed the qualitative and Delphi-based analyses. The subsequent tables summarize the prioritized transformative technologies, the results of the Delphi consensus indicators, and the cross-impact relationships that underpin the constructed future scenarios.

**Table 1. Demographic and Professional Characteristics of Expert Participants**

| Expert Code | Years of Experience | Area of Expertise | Current Position | Sector |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| E01 | 18 | Digital Banking Strategy | Senior Strategy Director | Commercial Bank |
| E02 | 15 | Fintech Innovation | CEO | Fintech Startup |
| E03 | 22 | AI and Data Analytics | Chief Data Officer | Private Bank |
| E04 | 12 | Blockchain and Distributed Systems | Technology Consultant | Financial IT |
| E05 | 16 | Regulatory Technology | Policy Advisor | Central Bank |
| E06 | 14 | Cybersecurity | Head of Security Operations | Banking Holding |
| E07 | 20 | Digital Transformation | Deputy Director | Government Banking Sector |
| E08 | 13 | Open Banking Ecosystems | Product Manager | Payment Services Provider |
| E09 | 17 | Cloud Infrastructure | Infrastructure Architect | Commercial Bank |
| E10 | 11 | Innovation Management | Academic Researcher | University |

This table demonstrates that the participant panel represents a wide range of expertise across strategic, operational, regulatory, and technological domains of the banking ecosystem. The depth of experience, spanning 11 to 22 years, ensured that the collected insights were grounded in both theoretical knowledge and practical perspectives. This diversity contributed directly to the validity and richness of the findings.

**Table 2. Prioritized Transformative Technologies Identified by Experts**

| Technology | Mean Importance Score (1–5) | Expected Time to Mainstream Adoption | Impact Level on Banking Sector |
|---|---|---|---|
| Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning | 4.87 | 3–5 years | Very High |
| Blockchain and Distributed Ledger Technology | 4.72 | 4–7 years | Very High |
| Open Banking and API-Driven Ecosystems | 4.65 | 2–4 years | High |
| Cloud-Native Banking Architecture | 4.58 | 2–5 years | High |
| Digital Identity and Biometric Authentication | 4.49 | 3–6 years | High |
| Quantum-Resistant Cryptography | 4.22 | 7–10 years | Very High |
| RegTech and Automated Compliance Tools | 4.35 | 3–6 years | Moderate–High |
| Autonomous Financial Advisory Systems | 4.18 | 4–7 years | Moderate |
| Internet of Things (IoT) Banking Applications | 3.92 | 5–8 years | Moderate |
| Metaverse-Based Financial Services | 3.51 | 8–12 years | Low–Moderate |

The results in this table indicate that experts view artificial intelligence, blockchain, and open banking as the most imminent and influential technological forces shaping future banking models. Technologies such as quantum-resistant cryptography, while still distant from mainstream adoption, were rated as strategically critical due to emerging security threats. The distribution of importance scores also reflects the convergence of regulatory, technological, and consumer-driven pressures accelerating digital transformation.

**Table 3. Delphi Round Stability and Consensus Metrics for Transformative Technologies**

| Technology | Round 1 IQR | Round 2 IQR | Consensus Achieved | Stability Index |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Artificial Intelligence | 0.50 | 0.25 | Yes | Stable |
| Blockchain | 0.75 | 0.25 | Yes | Stable |
| Open Banking APIs | 1.00 | 0.50 | Yes | Stable |
| Cloud-Native Systems | 1.00 | 0.50 | Yes | Stable |
| Digital Identity | 1.25 | 0.50 | Yes | Stable |
| Quantum-Resistant Security | 1.50 | 1.00 | Partial | Moderately Stable |
| RegTech Automation | 1.25 | 0.75 | Partial | Moderately Stable |
| Autonomous Advisory Systems | 1.50 | 1.00 | Partial | Moderately Stable |
| IoT-Based Banking | 1.75 | 1.25 | No | Unstable |
| Metaverse Banking | 2.00 | 1.75 | No | Unstable |

The results show a strong convergence of expert judgment for the top technologies, with significant reductions in the interquartile ranges between rounds. Technologies with rapid or visible industry adoption trajectories, such as AI and open banking, achieved the highest consensus and stability. In contrast, technologies with uncertain trajectories or lacking immediate application in banking, such as metaverse services and IoT-based banking, remained less stable in expert evaluations.

**Table 4. Cross-Impact Matrix Summary of Key Interactions Among Transformative Technologies**

| Technology Pair | Interaction Type | Influence Strength | Description of Cross-Impact |
|---|---|---|---|
| AI ↔ Open Banking | Reinforcing | High | AI enhances personalized financial services enabled by open banking data flows. |
| Blockchain ↔ Digital Identity | Reinforcing | Very High | Blockchain architectures strengthen secure identity frameworks and verification processes. |
| Cloud Computing ↔ AI | Reinforcing | High | Cloud-native platforms accelerate scalable deployment of AI systems across banking operations. |
| Quantum-Resistant Security ↔ Blockchain | Mitigating | High | Emerging cryptographic standards protect blockchain from quantum-era security threats. |
| RegTech ↔ AI | Reinforcing | Moderate–High | AI-driven algorithms streamline regulatory reporting and automated compliance. |
| Metaverse ↔ Digital Identity | Conditional | Moderate | Identity systems may evolve to support immersive digital financial interactions. |

The cross-impact results reveal that the future technological landscape of banking is shaped by mutually reinforcing interactions. The strongest relationships appear between blockchain and digital identity, and between AI and both open banking and cloud computing. These synergistic interactions suggest that the adoption of one technology could significantly accelerate the uptake and strategic value of others, particularly those underpinning digital security, data governance, and personalized service delivery. Meanwhile, technologies with conditional or weaker linkages, such as metaverse applications, appear dependent on the maturation of foundational infrastructures before demonstrating meaningful banking-sector impacts.

## Discussion and Conclusion

The findings of this futures study reveal that transformative technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, open banking, cloud-native systems, digital identity infrastructures, and quantum-resistant security are expected to exert substantial influence on the future evolution of the banking industry. Experts emphasized that these technologies will not only enhance operational efficiency but also reshape strategic decision-making, customer engagement, and risk management frameworks. The prioritization of artificial intelligence and blockchain, which consistently received the highest impact scores, aligns with broader global analyses highlighting the centrality of digital intelligence and decentralized systems in shaping the next phase of financial innovation. This trend echoes earlier studies demonstrating the growing reliance on AI-powered forecasting tools for risk assessment and investment optimization (6) and the systemic potential of distributed ledger technologies across sectors undergoing digitalization (1).

The strong expert consensus regarding the accelerating role of artificial intelligence is consistent with research illustrating AI's capacity to drive predictive analytics, process automation, and strategic business transformation. Studies focusing on AI in tourism development and labor forecasting similarly emphasize its ability to improve long-term planning accuracy and enhance decision support systems (17, 20). The alignment between these sectors and banking underscores AI's cross-domain influence, reaffirming its designation as a transformative general-purpose technology. Additionally, AI's reinforcement relationship with open banking, as identified in the cross-impact analysis, parallels findings in the product-service innovation literature, where data availability and intelligent analytics jointly create new forms of value generation (29). In the banking context, this synergy is likely to enable personalized financial services, dynamic risk profiling, and more adaptive credit assessment methods.

Blockchain technology also emerged as a high-impact driver, particularly in relation to digital identity verification and secure transaction ecosystems. This supports prior studies emphasizing blockchain's relevance in sectors requiring high levels of transparency, trust, and data integrity (3). In national economic development contexts, distributed ledger systems have been viewed as enablers of regulatory modernization and institutional resilience (12), reinforcing the argument that blockchain will continue to be a foundational infrastructure for banking transformation. The expert evaluation of quantum-resistant cryptography as strategically important further indicates growing awareness of potential vulnerabilities within existing digital infrastructures, a concern that has been highlighted in research on quantum communication technologies and their future implications for secure network services (27).

Open banking and API-based ecosystems ranked among the most imminent disruptive technologies, reflecting global shifts toward data interoperability, platformization, and customer-centered service architectures. The strong relationship between open banking and AI identified in the cross-impact matrix is consistent with research that emphasizes the necessity of data-rich environments for advanced algorithmic modeling (25). This relationship also mirrors findings in studies on strategic foresight and workforce planning, which indicate that digital ecosystems require a combination of infrastructural readiness and analytics-driven capabilities to support effective implementation (19). In the banking industry, open data frameworks are likely to enable broader innovation networks, promote competition among financial service providers, and support the emergence of personalized, modular financial solutions.

Cloud-native banking architectures also received high prioritization, particularly for their role in scalability, system resilience, and cost optimization. These findings are aligned with international research on operational resilience as an emerging conceptual framework that extends beyond traditional risk management by integrating adaptability, technological redundancy, and real-time responsiveness (21). Cloud-native infrastructures enable continuous deployment, rapid scaling of computational resources, and improved security monitoring—factors increasingly viewed as critical to ensuring uninterrupted financial service delivery in dynamic digital contexts. Similarly, studies on crisis management and future thinking reinforce the need for institutions to design technological systems capable of absorbing shocks and maintaining continuity during periods of uncertainty (16). The convergence of expert evaluations with this literature underscores the central role of cloud-native systems in future banking ecosystems.

Digital identity and biometric authentication were also rated as essential enablers of secure and frictionless digital services. Their strong interaction with blockchain identified in the study's cross-impact analysis reinforces findings in previous research showing that decentralized identity solutions can significantly enhance verification accuracy, reduce fraud, and improve user trust (23). Similar patterns have been studied in public administration and tourism systems, where digital identity plays a crucial role in the modernization of service delivery and systemic coordination (11). As banking services continue to migrate into digital and immersive environments, such as the metaverse, identity frameworks will become increasingly significant in establishing secure and verifiable digital interactions.

The moderate expert consensus around RegTech and automated compliance tools also aligns with results from studies on global banking ecosystem development, which emphasize the growing complexity of regulatory environments and the need for technologically enabled compliance systems (22). Scenario-based risk management research similarly highlights the value of automated monitoring and reporting tools for strengthening institutional resilience under conditions of uncertainty (14). The findings suggest that automated compliance technologies will become more critical as regulatory frameworks evolve in response to digitization, cybersecurity threats, and cross-border financial activity.

Interestingly, technologies such as IoT-based banking and metaverse-related financial services received lower impact scores and demonstrated limited stability across Delphi rounds. These results align with previous literature identifying significant uncertainty surrounding the diffusion of emerging immersive and sensor-based technologies (7). While early studies suggest potential use cases for immersive digital experiences and interconnected devices, their long-term relevance remains unclear due to infrastructural constraints, security risks, and ambiguous consumer adoption patterns. Similar uncertainty has been noted in studies exploring the transformation of national industries through foresight methods, where emerging technologies often exhibit unpredictable adoption pathways (30). The findings thus reinforce the importance of monitoring weak signals and long-term technological trends without prematurely allocating strategic resources to speculative innovations.

The cross-impact analysis further reveals that banking technologies are interdependent components of a broader systemic transformation rather than isolated drivers. Reinforcing relationships, such as those between AI and cloud computing or blockchain and digital identity, illustrate the emergence of technological clusters that collectively shape future scenarios. This systems-based perspective is consistent with long-term industrial development research, which emphasizes the value of mathematical modeling and scenario-based analysis for understanding the cascading effects of technological change (24). It also aligns with systemic analyses of global socio-economic transformations, which highlight how technological, political, and environmental variables interact to create emergent patterns of change (28). The interaction map generated in this study therefore provides a coherent representation of how strategic technologies will co-evolve within complex banking ecosystems.

Finally, the emphasis experts placed on transformative technologies, future uncertainties, and scenario planning is consistent with research across multiple sectors exploring how futurists, policymakers, and industry leaders use strategic foresight to navigate disruptive landscapes. NATO's methodology for strategic foresight, for example, emphasizes the integration of cross-impact analysis, scenario development, and long-term system assessment—core elements reflected in the present study's design (4). Similar methodological rigor can be found in studies on crisis resilience, foresight-driven educational reform, and market transitions under conditions of digital acceleration (13, 31). These consistent patterns suggest that the banking sector, like other complex industries, increasingly requires futures studies to evaluate emerging drivers, prepare for systemic disruption, and design flexible strategies for digital transformation.

Taken together, the study's findings demonstrate strong alignment with prior literature across multiple domains and reinforce the view that transformative technologies will profoundly reshape the banking industry's future. The consistency of results with global foresight research underscores both the methodological robustness of the study and the strategic importance of preparing adaptive, technology-centered banking models capable of navigating future uncertainties.

This study is limited by the sample size and composition of experts, which, although diverse, may not fully represent the global banking sector's perspectives. The Delphi process, while useful for consensus building, may constrain divergent or unconventional viewpoints. Additionally, the rapidly evolving nature of banking technologies means that new innovations may emerge faster than foresight models can incorporate them. Scenario construction is inherently interpretive, and the results may vary if different analytical frameworks or expert groups are used.

Future studies should expand the expert panel to include more diverse geographical regions, fintech innovators, and regulatory agencies. Longitudinal foresight studies would provide deeper insights into how technological trajectories evolve over time. Quantitative modeling approaches such as agent-based simulation, system dynamics, or machine learning forecasting could complement qualitative foresight to yield more robust future scenarios. Comparative studies between developing and developed economies would also illuminate contextual differences in technological adoption patterns.

Banking institutions should prioritize investments in AI, blockchain, cloud-native infrastructures, and digital identity systems, as these technologies provide the strongest foundation for future competitiveness. Strategic foresight units should be established within banks to continuously monitor emerging technological signals, regulatory changes, and systemic risks. Cross-sector collaboration, especially with fintech firms, telecommunications providers, and cybersecurity experts, will be essential for building resilient digital ecosystems capable of supporting next-generation financial services.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to express our appreciation and gratitude to all those who helped us carrying out this study.

## Authors' Contributions

All authors equally contributed to this study.

## Declaration of Interest

The authors of this article declared no conflict of interest.

## Ethical Considerations

All ethical principles were adheried in conducting and writing this article.

## Transparency of Data

In accordance with the principles of transparency and open research, we declare that all data and materials used in this study are available upon request.

## Funding

This research was carried out independently with personal funding and without the financial support of any governmental or private institution or organization.

## References

1. Bauer W, Schimpf S. Back to the Future: Scoping Dynamics in Industrial Innovation. Ieee Engineering Management Review. 2020;48(2):72-82. doi: 10.1109/emr.2020.2990097.

2. Ahmed NM. "Planetary Phase Shift" as a New Systems Framework to Navigate the Evolutionary Transformation of Human Civilisation. Foresight. 2024;27(2):240-66. doi: 10.1108/fs-02-2024-0025.

3. Ibragimovich TK. Strategic Foresight Scenarios for Tourism Development in Uzbekistan. Academia Open. 2025;10(1). doi: 10.21070/acopen.10.2025.11305.
4. Jacuch A. Strategic Foresight in NATO and Strategic Commands - An Analysis of Methodologies and Institutional Architecture. Przegląd Nauk O Obronności. 2025(21):4-16. doi: 10.37055/pno/209867.
5. Lisyuk VM, Topalova IA. Logistics Development: Evolution and Prospects. Economic Innovations. 2020;22(1(74)):122-8. doi: 10.31520/ei.2020.22.1(74).122-128.
6. Addo S. Harnessing AI-powered Financial Forecasting Tools to Improve Risk Management, Investment Strategies, and Overall Corporate Profitability Across Industries. International Journal of Science and Research Archive. 2023;9(2):1175-92. doi: 10.30574/ijsra.2023.9.2.0653.
7. Richter S, Mohammadhossein N, Richter A, Trier M. Augmented Reality and the Metaverse - Speculating About the Future. Ajis. 2025;29. doi: 10.3127/ajis.v29.5429.
8. Chen R, Chaiboonsri C, Wannapan S. The Perspective of Thailand Economy After the Effect of Coronavirus-19 Pandemics: Explication by Dynamic I-O Models and Agent-Based Simulations. Sage Open. 2021;11(2). doi: 10.1177/21582440211021841.
9. Hasliza A, Rohaida S, Zainal M, Ahmad H. Strategic Foresight and Agility: Upholding Sustainable Competitiveness Among SMEs During COVID-19 Pandemic. International Journal of Economics and Management. 2022;16(Special Issue 1):81-97. doi: 10.47836/ijeamsi.16.1.006.
10. Dobrovolska O, Колотіліна O, Ostapenko M. Forecasting Macroeconomic Dynamics in Ukraine: The Impact of a Full-Scale War. Socioeconomic Challenges. 2024;8(3):211-37. doi: 10.61093/sec.8(3).211-237.2024.
11. Antoniuk K. Analysis of the Definition "Foresite of Tourism Investment Attractiveness": Essence and Characteristics. Mechanism of an Economic Regulation. 2022(1-2). doi: 10.32782/mer.2022.95-96.02.
12. Bosovska M, Boiko M, Bovsh L, Охріменко A, Vedmid N. Foresight (Prevision) of Development of the Tourist System in Ukraine. Problems and Perspectives in Management. 2023;21(4):696-712. doi: 10.21511/ppm.21(4).2023.52.
13. Molchanova RV. Implementation of Foresight Technologies in Aviation Education. Ekonomika I Upravlenie Problemy Resheniya. 2024;3/2(144):131-7. doi: 10.36871/ek.up.p.r.2024.03.02.015.
14. Khalamandro M. Risk Management in International Supply Chains: Scenario Planning and Robust Optimization. Підприємництво І Торгівля. 2025(44):169-77. doi: 10.32782/2522-1256-2025-44-19.
15. Sharma V, Kasrija R, Anjana NS, Sharma RK. A Holistic Review on Impact of Demonetization on Animal Husbandry Sector. Asian Research Journal of Agriculture. 2024;17(4):535-41. doi: 10.9734/arja/2024/v17i4558.
16. Thanitbenjasith P, Kamkankaew P. Preparing for Tomorrow's Challenges: Integrating Future Thinking Into Crisis Management in Thai Higher Education. Ijsasr. 2023;3(5):215-30. doi: 10.60027/ijsasr.2023.3353.
17. Luca GD, Rosciano M. Google Trends Data and Transfer Function Models to Predict Tourism Demand in Italy. Journal of Tourism Futures. 2024. doi: 10.1108/jtf-01-2023-0018.
18. Trisos CH, Merow C, Pigot AL. The Projected Timing of Abrupt Ecological Disruption From Climate Change. Nature. 2020;580(7804):496-501. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2189-9.
19. Putri OS, Afnira E, Febriyanti P. Strategi Perencanaan Sumber Daya Manusia Dalam Menghadapi Tantangan Era Digital. Jpbi. 2024;1(3):24-34. doi: 10.61132/jpbi.v1i3.196.
20. Surahman S, Utomo KB, Putra PRS, Pratama AP, Yudaruddin R. Forecasting Vocational Workforce Readiness in Indonesia's New Capital: A System Dynamics and Foresight Approach. Knowledge and Performance Management. 2025;9(2):200-16. doi: 10.21511/kpm.09(2).2025.14.
21. Milkau U. Operational Resilience as a New Concept and Extension of Operational Risk Management. Rmfi. 2021;14(4):408. doi: 10.69554/qulw1579.
22. Fedotova GV, Averina AS. Study of Factors Modeling the Development of Banking Ecosystems. Finance and Credit. 2024;30(3):640-61. doi: 10.24891/fc.30.3.640.
23. Онопрієнко ЮЮ. Features of Development of Banking Activities in Eu Countries. Scientific Bulletin of Polissia. 2023(2(27)):306-16. doi: 10.25140/2410-9576-2023-2(27)-306-316.
24. Turlakova S. Research of Mathematical Methods and Models of Long-Term Industrial Development. Economy of Industry. 2022;4(100):53-77. doi: 10.15407/econindustry2022.04.053.
25. Vakaruk S, Karamchandani A, Sierra-García JE, Mozó A, Gómez-Canaval S, Pastor A. Transformers for Multi-Horizon Forecasting in an Industry 4.0 Use Case. Sensors. 2023;23(7):3516. doi: 10.3390/s23073516.
26. Washida Y, Yahata A. Predictive Value of Horizon Scanning for Future Scenarios. Foresight. 2020;23(1):17-32. doi: 10.1108/fs-05-2020-0047.

27. Manzalini A. Quantum Communications in Future Networks and Services. Quantum Reports. 2020;2(1):221-32. doi: 10.3390/quantum2010014.
28. Korotayev A. History &Amp; Mathematics: Political, Demographic, and Environmental Dimensions. 2024. doi: 10.30884/978-5-7057-6354-2_00.
29. Cheah S, Yang Y, Saritas Ö. Reinventing Product-Service Systems: The Case of Singapore. Foresight. 2019;21(3):332-61. doi: 10.1108/fs-12-2018-0107.
30. Zanizdra M. Foresighting of Environmental Regulation of a National Industry Development: Macro-Level. Economy of Industry. 2021;3(95):25-51. doi: 10.15407/econindustry2021.03.025.
31. Holtmannspötter D, Heimeshoff U, Haucap J, Loebert I, Busch C, Hoffknecht A. Soziale Marktwirtschaft in Der Digitalen Zukunft. 2023. doi: 10.5771/9783748934400.